



The  
University  
Of  
Sheffield.

# Decision making and safety in emergency care transitions

*Rachel O'Hara, Maxine Johnson, University of Sheffield*

This project is funded by the National Institute for Health Research Health Services and Delivery Research Programme (project number 10/1007/53 ).

The views and opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the HS&DR Programme, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health.



*Reproduced with kind permission from  
East Midlands Ambulance Service*



*Reproduced with kind permission  
from freefoto.com*

# Study Team

R O'Hara (PI), M Johnson, S Mason, J Turner, University of Sheffield

E Hirst, Service User

J Shewan, Ambulance Service

N Siriwardena, University of Lincoln;

T Quinn, University of Surrey

A Weyman, University of Bath

<http://www.netscc.ac.uk/hsdr/projdetails.php?ref=10-1007-53>

## Study Aim

- To explore the various influences on safe decision making by emergency care (Ambulance Service) staff.
- What are the risks associated with transitions in the emergency care process - decisions about patient care?

# Study Design

- **Case studies**

- Three Ambulance Trusts

- **Systems approach**

- Factors ranging from organisational to individual

- **Human factors framework**

- Reason 2000; Vincent 1998

# Study Methods Phase 1: Mapping the system

- **Interviews with key personnel:**
  - Managers, team leaders.
- **Identifying system characteristics, including:**
  - Resources (e.g. staffing, training, funding)
  - Service demands (e.g. population; geographical area; performance targets)
  - Organisation of service delivery (e.g. protocols; guidelines; pathways )

# Study Methods Phase 2: Ethnographic study

## ➤ Exploration of decision making by AS staff

- A range of staff roles, responsibilities & care pathways
- Data collection: observation, interviews, digital diaries, documentation

## ➤ Collaboration with AS researchers

- Observation carried out by University and AS researchers



## ➤ **Staff focus groups with staff in each AS**

- Explore attitudes, values and behaviours about patient safety and how these are reflected in working practices
- Strengths and weaknesses of patient safety culture

## ➤ **Service user focus groups**

- Explore experiences and views on the transition points in pre-hospital emergency care
- Perceptions of safety issues associated with decisions

## Study Methods Phase 3: Validation workshops

- Feedback & validate the findings with the staff at each AS site and any local stakeholders including service users
- Elicit views on key patient safety issues identified

# Potential influences on care decisions: themes from Phase 1

- Increasing demand
- Time for assessment – appropriate decisions
- Communication – information accuracy
- Staff roles - skills and training
- Prioritisation
- Protocols V Flexibility over decisions
- AS Resources
- Availability of local community pathways
- Out of hours care
- Delays – response & handover
- Targets
- Transfer/handover to other services
- Communication between staff and services
- Feedback on decisions
- Geography/Distance – time
- NHS changes – GP Commissioning Groups
  - *more options or more fragmentation?*
- Specific patient groups:
  - *Communication difficulties (inc. language)*
  - *Older - falls*
  - *Chronic conditions (COPD, Diabetes)*
  - *Mental health*
  - *End of life*
  - *Vulnerable*

# Potential influences on care decisions: themes from Phase 2

## Staff Observations

- Negotiation or agreement with other health care professionals
- Preferences of service users & carers
- Ability to self-care / presence of support at home
- Uncertainty of causation
- Varied availability of local pathways

## Service Users

- Communication and triage
- Public awareness of roles & processes
- Issues of accessibility and preferences for vulnerable groups
- Perception of waiting time can be distorted by anxiety
- 'Treat and leave' – access to GP

## Staff Focus Groups

- Lone working – 'self employed'
- Safety culture - individual V organisation
- Reliance on information from control/dispatch
- Organisational communication and feedback
- Public awareness/knowledge
- Status as healthcare professionals

# Study and methods: implications

- The ambulance service context is relatively under-researched
- Multi-method approach allows comparison of data from different sources
- Study uses established and novel methods for data collection (e.g. digital staff diaries)
- Engages ambulance service staff as researchers
- Importance of key AS personnel to raise awareness of the study and access participants
- Challenges due to the time-critical nature of the work